Category of Cases	Pending for 10 yrs.	more than 5 yrs.	3 yrs.
(iv) Original Suits		14	
(v) Transfer Cases	2	187	15
(vi) Reference under various Act.		26	
	5		46
(c) Special Leave. Petition (Civil)		48154	
Special Leave Petition (Criminal)		6765	
= Total:		54919	

Simplification of Import Licensing Procedure

MS. SHRI TALARI MANOHAR: Will the Minister of COMMERCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government proprose to further simplify the import licensing procedure; and

(b) if so, what are the details in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF COMMERCE AND TOURISM (SHRI ARUN KUMAR NEHRU): (a) and (b) The Import Licensing Procedures are kept constantly under review and simplification is effected as necessary.

U.S. Action Under Super 301 Against India

*46. SHRI RAJUBHAIA. PARMAR: SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU:

Will the Minister of COMMERCE be pleased to state:

(a) what is the latest stand of the United States about taking action under Super 301 against India on the expiry of deadline and what are the reasons attributed by the United States for not taking action against India under the above provision;

(b) whether there has been any change in Indian Government's stand during the last three months on the issue in response to the United States' stand and also on the issue of negotiations under Super 301 law with- the U.S. authorities; and

(c) if so, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF COMMERCE AND TOURISM (SHRI ARUN KUMAR NEHRU): (a) On 14 June, 1990, the US Trade Representative (USTR) has determined that certain allegedly trade restricting investment measures and barriers to foreign insurance providers imposed by Government of India are unreasonable and burden or restrict US Commerce. The USTR has, however, determined that no responsive action under Sectioned of the US Trade Act is appropriate at this time, given the potential for results through Government of India's participation in the Uruguay Round Negotiation on Trade Related Investment Measures and Services. Therefore, the investigations initiated against India have been terminated and the status of India's practices is proposed to be reviewed after the conclusion of the Uruguay Round Negotiations to determine at the time whether actions under Section 301 would be warranted.

(b) No, Sir. The Government of India continued to maintain the stand that it would not enter into negotiations with the USA under threat of retaliation inherent in Section 301 provision.

(c) Does not arise.